

Appln No. 10/760,267  
Amdt. Dated May 17, 2006  
Response to Office Action of April 20, 2006

3

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

In response to the Examiner's final Office Action of April 20, 2006 the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment of the claims and the below Remarks.

#### ***Regarding Amendment***

In the Amendment:

independent claim 1 is amended to clarify that it is the removable inkjet cartridge which has the printhead, not the claimed body of the cradle, and that the claimed integrated circuit device is arranged to couple with the authentication device of the removable inkjet cartridge at receipt of the cartridge by the body. Support for this amendment can be found, for example, at page 5, line 11-page 6, line 4, page 7, lines 12-17 and page 11, lines 14-22 of the present specification; and

dependent claims 2-5 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendments to not add any new matter to the present application nor any new issues to the prosecution of the present application because the subject matter of the amended claims was fully discussed by the Applicant in the Reply to the previous Office Action and was considered by the Examiner in the Response to Arguments section of the current Office Action.

#### ***Regarding 35 USC 102(e) Rejections***

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-5 dependent therefrom, is not disclosed by previously cited Silverbrook, for at least the following reasons.

In the Response to Argument section of the current Office Action, the Examiner asserts that the pending claims do not recite the features of the present invention which are distinguished from the disclosure of Silverbrook as argued by the Applicant in the Reply to the previous Office Action.

Whilst the Applicant respectfully disagrees, independent claim 1 has been amended as discussed above to clearly recite these distinguishing features. That is, it is clarified that in the present invention, the removable inkjet cartridge 6, to which the body of inkjet printer cradle 4 is complementary, has the pagewidth printhead 52 and ink supply 28-34, not the cradle, as it is arranged in Silverbrook, and that, accordingly, the integrated circuit assembly 82 of the cradle is arranged to couple with the authentication device 57 of the cartridge so that it may test the suitability of the cartridge (see page 5, line 11-page 6, line 4, page 7, lines 12-17 and page 11, lines 14-22 of the present specification).

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that for at least the reasons discussed by the Applicant in the Reply to the previous Office Action, the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-5 dependent therefrom, is not disclosed, or suggested, by Silverbrook.

Appln No. 10/760,267  
Amdt. Dated May 17, 2006  
Response to Office Action of April 20, 2006

4

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,  
Applicant:



---

KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd  
393 Darling Street  
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: [kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com)

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762